

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/595,399	SALAMA, ZOSER B.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
S. Tran	1615	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (1) S. Tran.  
 (2) Joyce v. Natzmer.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*of record*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Khokhar, Kidani, and Matteucci et al.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) cancel claims that are directed to non-elected inventions; 2) amend the transitional phrase "comprising of" in all claims with respect to the tablet or capsule to recite "consisting of". Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.